

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	.   1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,531	629,531 07/28/2003		Herry (Z-X) Chen	210-007-2	6069	
33461	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER		
SULLIVA 1850 NOR		GROUP RAL AVENUE		TRUON	G, DUC	
SUITE 114	0			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1711		
PHOENIX	, AZ 850	04				
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/629,531	CHEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Duc Truong	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	s				
Period for Reply		AONTH (O) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commur  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-19	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<u> </u>	nents have been received						
_							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·	je				
application from the International Bu	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	<b>,</b> , □ , , ,	Comment (DTC 440)					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) [_] Other:	<del></del> :					

Application/Control Number: 10/629,531

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-14 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,600,007. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,600,007. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably Application/Control Number: 10/629,531

Art Unit: 1711

distinct from each other because the only difference is the initiator in the (b) step in claim 15 of the reference is included in the use of "at least one member selected from the group consisting of the initiator and a complex of the initiator" in the (b) step in the instant claim 15 in the claimed process to form the same product.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the initiator from the claim of the reference within the limitation of the instant claims since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have been expected to provide adequate results. There is no showing of unexpected results derived from said selection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER